UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,002	08/20/2007	Martin Scheffel	10191/4261	8318
26646 KENYON & K	7590 10/08/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADY		GIMIE, MAHMOUD		
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/581,002	SCHEFFEL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mahmoud Gimie	3747			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Au	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 23-44 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 23-44 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 May 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to b				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/26/2006;3/24/2008.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/581,002 Page 2

Art Unit: 3747

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 23-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Akutagawa et al. (US 5,893,351).

Akutagawa et al. disclose a support element for mutually supporting a fuel injector in a valve receptacle of an intake manifold of an internal combustion engine, as well as mutually supporting the fuel injector against a fuel distribution line, comprising: a clamp body (50); at least two clips (51b, 52a, 53a) extending from the clamp body and configured to interact with one of the fuel injector and the fuel distribution line; and at least two brackets (53b) extending axially from the clamp body and configured to interact with one of the fuel injector and the fuel distribution line.

Note: mounting the fuel injector in a cylinder head instead of an intake manifold is an intended use and does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations (Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987)). Consequently, it was not given patentable weight.

Regarding claim 24, wherein the brackets rest against a shoulder of the fuel distribution line.

Art Unit: 3747

Regarding claim 25, wherein the clips rest against a shoulder of the fuel injector.

Regarding claim 26, wherein the brackets (53b) rest against a shoulder of the fuel injector.

Regarding claim 27, wherein the clips rest against a shoulder of the fuel distribution line.

Regarding claim 28, wherein the number of brackets (53b) is exactly two.

Regarding claim 29, wherein the number of clips (53a) is exactly two.

Regarding claim 30, wherein the clips (53a) radially grasp the fuel injector.

Regarding claim 31, wherein the number of clips is three (51b).

Regarding claim 32, wherein the three clips have the same shape.

Regarding claim 33, wherein the three clips each have at least one curve.

Regarding claim 34, wherein the clips have different shapes.

Regarding claim 35, wherein two of the three clips have the same shape.

Regarding claim 36, wherein at least one of the three clips has a tongue shape.

Regarding claim 37, wherein at least one of the three clips has an onion shape.

Regarding claim 38, wherein the at least one clip having an onion shape has a hole.

Regarding claims 39-44, the claimed subject matter is substantially the same as the above rejected claims.

# 3. Claims 23-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Braun ET al (6,830,037).

Braun et al. disclose a support element for mutually supporting a fuel injector in a valve receptacle of a cylinder head of an internal combustion engine, as well as mutually supporting the fuel injector against a fuel distribution line, comprising: a clamp body

Application/Control Number: 10/581,002

Art Unit: 3747

(40); at least two clips (36) extending from the clamp body and configured to interact with one of the fuel injector and the fuel distribution line; and at least two brackets (50) extending axially from the clamp body and configured to interact with one of the fuel injector and the fuel distribution line; see figures 1 and 2.

Regarding claim 24, wherein the brackets rest against a shoulder of the fuel distribution line; see figures 1 and 2.

Regarding claim 25, wherein the clips rest against a shoulder of the fuel injector.

Regarding claim 26, wherein the brackets (50) rest against a shoulder of the fuel injector.

Regarding claim 27, wherein the clips (36) rest against a shoulder of the fuel distribution line.

Regarding claim 28, wherein the number of brackets (50) is exactly two.

Regarding claim 29, wherein the number of clips (36) is exactly two.

Regarding claim 30, wherein the clips (36) radially grasp the fuel injector.

Regarding claim 31, wherein the number of clips is three; see figures 3-5.

Regarding claim 32, wherein the three clips have the same shape; see figures 3-5.

Regarding claim 33, wherein the three clips each have at least one curve.

Regarding claim 34, wherein the clips have different shapes.

Regarding claim 35, wherein two of the three clips have the same shape.

Regarding claim 36, wherein at least one of the three clips has a tongue shape.

Regarding claim 37, wherein at least one of the three clips has an onion shape.

Regarding claim 38, wherein the at least one clip having an onion shape has a hole.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,002 Page 5

Art Unit: 3747

Regarding claims 39-44, the claimed subject matter is substantially the same as the above rejected claims.

## **Double Patenting**

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 23-44 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-26 of U.S. Patent No. 7,210,462. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the current application is broader in some respect and merely adds obvious features in other respects.

### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references disclose fuel injector clips.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,002 Page 6

Art Unit: 3747

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mahmoud Gimie whose telephone number is 571-272-4841. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 7 a.m. -3:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen K. Cronin can be reached on 571-272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MG/ /Mahmoud Gimie/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3747